

Part I -- Campus Level: Student performance for each district and campus compared to the state as a whole, % of Students Tested, Student Achievement by Proficiency Level, 2007-08, 2008-09, For LAMAR ELEMENTARY (165901111)

Grade 3 Reading

Student Groups	Year	Campus % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	District % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	State % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	% Tested (In Campus)	Percent of Students in Campus at Each Achievement Level		
						% Not Meeting Standard (Basic)	% Met Standard (Proficient)	% Commended (Advanced)
All Students	2007-08	90	92	92	>99%	10	57	33
	2008-09	85	89	93	>99%	15	65	20
American Indian	2007-08	*	75	94	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	*	94	*	*	*	*
Asian	2007-08	*	*	97	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	*	97	*	*	*	*
Black	2007-08	88	88	89	>99%	13	75	13
	2008-09	70	84	88	>99%	30	*	*
Hispanic	2007-08	88	89	90	>99%	12	58	30
	2008-09	86	86	91	>99%	14	63	22
White	2007-08	*	98	97	>99%	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	95	97	>99%	*	*	*
Female	2007-08	89	93	94	>99%	11	57	31
	2008-09	87	92	94	>99%	13	76	11
Male	2007-08	91	91	91	>99%	9	57	34
	2008-09	81	87	92	>99%	19	48	33
Special Education	2007-08	*	72	78	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	74	85	*	*	*	*
LEP	2007-08	*	87	88	>99%	*	*	*
	2008-09	86	79	89	>99%	14	57	29
Economically Disadvantaged	2007-08	90	89	89	>99%	10	58	32
	2008-09	83	84	90	>99%	17	65	19

(Continued)

Part I -- Campus Level: Student performance for each district and campus compared to the state as a whole, % of Students Tested, Student Achievement by Proficiency Level, 2007-08, 2008-09, For LAMAR ELEMENTARY (165901111)

Grade 3 Reading

		Campus % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	District % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	State % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	% Tested (In Campus)	Percent of Students in Campus at Each Achievement Level		
						% Not Meeting Standard (Basic)	% Met Standard (Proficient)	% Commended (Advanced)
Student Groups	Year							
Migrant	2007-08	*	86	84	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	*	84	*	*	*	*

Part I -- Campus Level: Student performance for each district and campus compared to the state as a whole, % of Students Tested, Student Achievement by Proficiency Level, 2007-08, 2008-09, For LAMAR ELEMENTARY (165901111)

Grade 3 Mathematics

Student Groups	Year	Campus % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	District % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	State % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	% Tested (In Campus)	Percent of Students in Campus at Each Achievement Level		
						% Not Meeting Standard (Basic)	% Met Standard (Proficient)	% Commended (Advanced)
All Students	2007-08	81	81	82	>99%	19	48	33
	2008-09	51	68	83	>99%	49	37	14
American Indian	2007-08	*	50	83	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	75	86	*	*	*	*
Asian	2007-08	*	92	94	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	*	95	*	*	*	*
Black	2007-08	50	66	71	>99%	50	38	13
	2008-09	25	55	74	>99%	75	13	13
Hispanic	2007-08	83	78	80	>99%	17	46	38
	2008-09	56	62	80	>99%	44	44	12
White	2007-08	91	89	90	>99%	9	64	27
	2008-09	43	82	91	>99%	57	14	29
Female	2007-08	80	80	82	>99%	20	51	29
	2008-09	49	67	83	>99%	51	38	10
Male	2007-08	81	81	83	>99%	19	44	38
	2008-09	54	70	84	>99%	46	35	19
Special Education	2007-08	*	57	68	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	51	74	*	*	*	*
LEP	2007-08	88	77	78	>99%	13	25	63
	2008-09	62	48	79	>99%	38	57	5
Economically Disadvantaged	2007-08	77	76	77	>99%	23	44	33
	2008-09	51	58	78	>99%	49	38	13

(Continued)

Part I -- Campus Level: Student performance for each district and campus compared to the state as a whole, % of Students Tested, Student Achievement by Proficiency Level, 2007-08, 2008-09, For LAMAR ELEMENTARY (165901111)

Grade 3 Mathematics

Student Groups	Year	Campus % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	District % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	State % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	% Tested (In Campus)	Percent of Students in Campus at Each Achievement Level		
						% Not Meeting Standard (Basic)	% Met Standard (Proficient)	% Commended (Advanced)
Migrant	2007-08	*	71	73	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	*	75	*	*	*	*

Part I -- Campus Level: Student performance for each district and campus compared to the state as a whole, % of Students Tested, Student Achievement by Proficiency Level, 2007-08, 2008-09, For LAMAR ELEMENTARY (165901111)

Grade 4 Reading

Student Groups	Year	Campus % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	District % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	State % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	% Tested (In Campus)	Percent of Students in Campus at Each Achievement Level		
						% Not Meeting Standard (Basic)	% Met Standard (Proficient)	% Commended (Advanced)
All Students	2007-08	67	82	82	>99%	33	55	13
	2008-09	78	79	84	>99%	22	68	10
American Indian	2007-08	*	*	84	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	75	86	*	*	*	*
Asian	2007-08	*	92	93	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	82	93	*	*	*	*
Black	2007-08	50	72	74	>99%	50	38	13
	2008-09	63	62	77	>99%	38	*	*
Hispanic	2007-08	68	78	77	>99%	32	59	8
	2008-09	78	73	80	>99%	22	67	11
White	2007-08	80	91	91	>99%	20	50	30
	2008-09	88	91	92	>99%	13	75	13
Female	2007-08	76	83	84	>99%	24	68	8
	2008-09	71	82	86	>99%	29	60	11
Male	2007-08	60	81	80	>99%	40	43	17
	2008-09	83	76	81	>99%	17	75	8
Special Education	2007-08	*	56	63	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	80	69	69	>99%	20	*	*
LEP	2007-08	50	62	69	>99%	50	*	*
	2008-09	73	66	74	>99%	27	67	7
Economically Disadvantaged	2007-08	63	74	75	>99%	37	55	8
	2008-09	78	70	78	>99%	22	69	10

(Continued)

Part I -- Campus Level: Student performance for each district and campus compared to the state as a whole, % of Students Tested, Student Achievement by Proficiency Level, 2007-08, 2008-09, For LAMAR ELEMENTARY (165901111)

Grade 4 Reading

		Campus % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	District % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	State % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	% Tested (In Campus)	Percent of Students in Campus at Each Achievement Level		
						% Not Meeting Standard (Basic)	% Met Standard (Proficient)	% Commended (Advanced)
Student Groups	Year							
Migrant	2007-08	*	83	67	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	*	72	*	*	*	*

Part I -- Campus Level: Student performance for each district and campus compared to the state as a whole, % of Students Tested, Student Achievement by Proficiency Level, 2007-08, 2008-09, For LAMAR ELEMENTARY (165901111)

Grade 4 Mathematics

Student Groups	Year	Campus % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	District % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	State % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	% Tested (In Campus)	Percent of Students in Campus at Each Achievement Level		
						% Not Meeting Standard (Basic)	% Met Standard (Proficient)	% Commended (Advanced)
All Students	2007-08	76	83	83	>99%	24	50	26
	2008-09	63	75	85	>99%	38	42	21
American Indian	2007-08	*	*	83	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	63	85	*	*	*	*
Asian	2007-08	*	83	95	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	94	95	*	*	*	*
Black	2007-08	57	73	73	>99%	43	29	29
	2008-09	63	57	76	>99%	38	25	38
Hispanic	2007-08	78	80	80	>99%	22	54	24
	2008-09	64	70	83	>99%	36	42	22
White	2007-08	80	92	90	>99%	20	50	30
	2008-09	63	88	91	>99%	38	*	*
Female	2007-08	75	83	83	>99%	25	46	29
	2008-09	51	76	85	>99%	49	46	6
Male	2007-08	77	84	83	>99%	23	53	23
	2008-09	75	74	85	>99%	25	39	36
Special Education	2007-08	*	65	63	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	20	63	71	>99%	80	*	*
LEP	2007-08	64	67	75	>99%	36	50	14
	2008-09	53	61	79	>99%	47	47	7
Economically Disadvantaged	2007-08	68	77	78	>99%	32	51	16
	2008-09	61	67	80	>99%	39	33	27

(Continued)

Part I -- Campus Level: Student performance for each district and campus compared to the state as a whole, % of Students Tested, Student Achievement by Proficiency Level, 2007-08, 2008-09, For LAMAR ELEMENTARY (165901111)

Grade 4 Mathematics

Student Groups	Year	Campus % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	District % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	State % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	% Tested (In Campus)	Percent of Students in Campus at Each Achievement Level		
						% Not Meeting Standard (Basic)	% Met Standard (Proficient)	% Commended (Advanced)
Migrant	2007-08	*	92	74	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	*	78	*	*	*	*

Part I -- Campus Level: Student performance for each district and campus compared to the state as a whole, % of Students Tested, Student Achievement by Proficiency Level, 2007-08, 2008-09, For LAMAR ELEMENTARY (165901111)

Grade 5 Reading

Student Groups	Year	Campus % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	District % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	State % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	% Tested (In Campus)	Percent of Students in Campus at Each Achievement Level		
						% Not Meeting Standard (Basic)	% Met Standard (Proficient)	% Commended (Advanced)
All Students	2007-08	86	88	89	>99%	14	77	9
	2008-09	73	85	89	>99%	27	59	14
American Indian	2007-08	*	82	91	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	*	89	*	*	*	*
Asian	2007-08	*	80	95	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	93	95	*	*	*	*
Black	2007-08	73	85	85	>99%	27	*	*
	2008-09	70	75	85	>99%	30	60	10
Hispanic	2007-08	89	86	86	>99%	11	78	11
	2008-09	67	81	85	>99%	33	53	14
White	2007-08	85	94	95	>99%	15	75	10
	2008-09	*	93	95	>99%	*	*	*
Female	2007-08	81	88	90	>99%	19	70	11
	2008-09	82	85	90	>99%	18	68	14
Male	2007-08	90	89	88	>99%	10	83	8
	2008-09	66	84	88	>99%	34	51	14
Special Education	2007-08	75	57	69	>99%	25	*	*
	2008-09	83	65	78	>99%	17	*	*
LEP	2007-08	57	61	72	>99%	43	*	*
	2008-09	33	63	72	>99%	67	*	*
Economically Disadvantaged	2007-08	82	83	84	>99%	18	73	9
	2008-09	68	76	84	>99%	32	61	7

(Continued)

Part I -- Campus Level: Student performance for each district and campus compared to the state as a whole, % of Students Tested, Student Achievement by Proficiency Level, 2007-08, 2008-09, For LAMAR ELEMENTARY (165901111)

Grade 5 Reading

Student Groups	Year	Campus % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	District % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	State % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	% Tested (In Campus)	Percent of Students in Campus at Each Achievement Level		
						% Not Meeting Standard (Basic)	% Met Standard (Proficient)	% Commended (Advanced)
Migrant	2007-08	*	*	76	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	90	76	*	*	*	*

Part I -- Campus Level: Student performance for each district and campus compared to the state as a whole, % of Students Tested, Student Achievement by Proficiency Level, 2007-08, 2008-09, For LAMAR ELEMENTARY (165901111)

Grade 5 Mathematics

Student Groups	Year	Campus % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	District % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	State % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	% Tested (In Campus)	Percent of Students in Campus at Each Achievement Level		
						% Not Meeting Standard (Basic)	% Met Standard (Proficient)	% Commended (Advanced)
All Students	2007-08	85	87	88	99	15	57	28
	2008-09	61	82	90	>99%	39	42	18
American Indian	2007-08	*	80	89	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	*	88	*	*	*	*
Asian	2007-08	*	87	96	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	85	97	*	*	*	*
Black	2007-08	64	76	80	>99%	36	55	9
	2008-09	55	70	83	>99%	45	*	*
Hispanic	2007-08	93	85	85	>99%	7	57	36
	2008-09	59	78	87	>99%	41	39	20
White	2007-08	79	92	94	95	21	58	21
	2008-09	73	92	95	>99%	27	45	27
Female	2007-08	81	86	88	97	19	61	19
	2008-09	59	81	90	>99%	41	41	17
Male	2007-08	90	87	87	>99%	10	54	36
	2008-09	62	82	90	>99%	38	43	19
Special Education	2007-08	63	59	64	>99%	38	38	25
	2008-09	50	64	78	>99%	50	*	*
LEP	2007-08	83	65	74	>99%	17	67	17
	2008-09	53	55	78	>99%	47	47	7
Economically Disadvantaged	2007-08	82	81	83	>99%	18	59	23
	2008-09	55	73	86	>99%	45	45	9

(Continued)

Part I -- Campus Level: Student performance for each district and campus compared to the state as a whole, % of Students Tested, Student Achievement by Proficiency Level, 2007-08, 2008-09, For LAMAR ELEMENTARY (165901111)

Grade 5 Mathematics

Student Groups	Year	Campus % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	District % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	State % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	% Tested (In Campus)	Percent of Students in Campus at Each Achievement Level		
						% Not Meeting Standard (Basic)	% Met Standard (Proficient)	% Commended (Advanced)
Migrant	2007-08	*	*	78	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	70	81	*	*	*	*

Part I -- Campus Level: Student performance for each district and campus compared to the state as a whole, % of Students Tested, Student Achievement by Proficiency Level, 2007-08, 2008-09, For LAMAR ELEMENTARY (165901111)

Grade 5 Science

Student Groups	Year	Campus % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	District % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	State % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	% Tested (In Campus)	Percent of Students in Campus at Each Achievement Level		
						% Not Meeting Standard (Basic)	% Met Standard (Proficient)	% Commended (Advanced)
All Students	2007-08	72	75	78	99	28	47	25
	2008-09	63	77	82	>99%	38	31	31
American Indian	2007-08	*	70	83	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	*	85	*	*	*	*
Asian	2007-08	*	82	89	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	79	92	*	*	*	*
Black	2007-08	45	55	67	>99%	55	27	18
	2008-09	36	64	73	>99%	64	18	18
Hispanic	2007-08	77	69	73	98	23	57	20
	2008-09	65	72	77	>99%	35	40	26
White	2007-08	74	87	89	>99%	26	32	42
	2008-09	80	90	92	>99%	20	10	70
Female	2007-08	62	71	76	>99%	38	43	19
	2008-09	59	74	80	>99%	41	37	22
Male	2007-08	82	78	81	97	18	50	32
	2008-09	65	81	84	>99%	35	27	38
Special Education	2007-08	57	50	55	>99%	43	43	14
	2008-09	33	52	61	>99%	67	17	17
LEP	2007-08	50	37	54	>99%	50	33	17
	2008-09	40	46	61	>99%	60	27	13
Economically Disadvantaged	2007-08	67	65	71	98	33	53	15
	2008-09	52	66	75	>99%	48	36	16

(Continued)

Part I -- Campus Level: Student performance for each district and campus compared to the state as a whole, % of Students Tested, Student Achievement by Proficiency Level, 2007-08, 2008-09, For LAMAR ELEMENTARY (165901111)

Grade 5 Science

Student Groups	Year	Campus % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	District % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	State % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	% Tested (In Campus)	Percent of Students in Campus at Each Achievement Level		
						% Not Meeting Standard (Basic)	% Met Standard (Proficient)	% Commended (Advanced)
Migrant	2007-08	*	*	63	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	70	67	*	*	*	*

Part I -- Campus Level: Student performance for each district and campus compared to the state as a whole, % of Students Tested, Student Achievement by Proficiency Level, 2007-08, 2008-09, For LAMAR ELEMENTARY (165901111)

Grade 6 Reading

Student Groups	Year	Campus % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	District % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	State % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	% Tested (In Campus)	Percent of Students in Campus at Each Achievement Level		
						% Not Meeting Standard (Basic)	% Met Standard (Proficient)	% Commended (Advanced)
All Students	2007-08	85	92	90	>99%	15	62	23
	2008-09	88	93	90	>99%	12	62	26
American Indian	2007-08	*	71	92	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	*	92	*	*	*	*
Asian	2007-08	*	88	96	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	90	96	*	*	*	*
Black	2007-08	86	90	86	>99%	14	71	14
	2008-09	80	86	87	>99%	20	50	30
Hispanic	2007-08	86	90	87	>99%	14	65	20
	2008-09	88	92	87	>99%	12	62	26
White	2007-08	78	95	95	>99%	22	33	44
	2008-09	92	95	96	>99%	8	67	25
Female	2007-08	93	94	93	>99%	7	57	36
	2008-09	87	93	91	>99%	13	63	23
Male	2007-08	78	90	87	>99%	22	65	14
	2008-09	89	92	89	>99%	11	60	29
Special Education	2007-08	43	55	66	>99%	57	29	14
	2008-09	*	75	73	*	*	*	*
LEP	2007-08	*	70	69	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	67	68	*	*	*	*
Economically Disadvantaged	2007-08	88	89	85	>99%	12	72	16
	2008-09	84	89	86	>99%	16	60	23

(Continued)

Part I -- Campus Level: Student performance for each district and campus compared to the state as a whole, % of Students Tested, Student Achievement by Proficiency Level, 2007-08, 2008-09, For LAMAR ELEMENTARY (165901111)

Grade 6 Reading

Student Groups	Year	Campus % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	District % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	State % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	% Tested (In Campus)	Percent of Students in Campus at Each Achievement Level		
						% Not Meeting Standard (Basic)	% Met Standard (Proficient)	% Commended (Advanced)
Migrant	2007-08	*	88	78	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	*	78	*	*	*	*

Part I -- Campus Level: Student performance for each district and campus compared to the state as a whole, % of Students Tested, Student Achievement by Proficiency Level, 2007-08, 2008-09, For LAMAR ELEMENTARY (165901111)

Grade 6 Mathematics

Student Groups	Year	Campus % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	District % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	State % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	% Tested (In Campus)	Percent of Students in Campus at Each Achievement Level		
						% Not Meeting Standard (Basic)	% Met Standard (Proficient)	% Commended (Advanced)
All Students	2007-08	71	80	79	>99%	29	49	22
	2008-09	45	75	79	>99%	55	*	*
American Indian	2007-08	*	57	80	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	71	81	*	*	*	*
Asian	2007-08	*	88	94	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	89	94	*	*	*	*
Black	2007-08	71	74	67	>99%	29	57	14
	2008-09	10	61	69	>99%	90	*	*
Hispanic	2007-08	69	75	75	>99%	31	51	18
	2008-09	45	69	75	>99%	55	*	*
White	2007-08	78	88	87	>99%	22	33	44
	2008-09	67	85	88	>99%	33	*	*
Female	2007-08	79	81	80	>99%	21	54	25
	2008-09	47	75	80	>99%	53	*	*
Male	2007-08	65	79	78	>99%	35	46	19
	2008-09	43	74	78	>99%	57	*	*
Special Education	2007-08	57	47	49	>99%	43	*	*
	2008-09	*	46	59	*	*	*	*
LEP	2007-08	*	53	59	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	40	61	*	*	*	*
Economically Disadvantaged	2007-08	72	72	72	>99%	28	52	20
	2008-09	40	65	73	>99%	60	*	*

(Continued)

Part I -- Campus Level: Student performance for each district and campus compared to the state as a whole, % of Students Tested, Student Achievement by Proficiency Level, 2007-08, 2008-09, For LAMAR ELEMENTARY (165901111)

Grade 6 Mathematics

Student Groups	Year	Campus % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	District % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	State % of Students Met Standard (Proficient) and Commended (Advanced)	% Tested (In Campus)	Percent of Students in Campus at Each Achievement Level		
						% Not Meeting Standard (Basic)	% Met Standard (Proficient)	% Commended (Advanced)
Migrant	2007-08	*	75	68	*	*	*	*
	2008-09	*	*	66	*	*	*	*

Part I -- Campus Level: Student performance for each district and campus compared to the state as a whole, % of Students Tested, Student Achievement by Proficiency Level, 2007-08,~_2008-09, For LAMAR ELEMENTARY (165901111)

Footnotes

Student Achievement results are rounded to the nearest whole percent.

Special formats ('*', >99%, <1%, 'n/a') are used in order to comply with the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA). For detailed information, please see the **Explanation of NCLB School Report Card Data Masking Rules**.

Contact Information

Questions regarding Part I of the No Child Left Behind School Report Card should be directed to the Division of Performance Reporting. Contact us at (512) 463-9704 or performance.reporting@tea.state.tx.us.